



# **Spectral Surveys**

# Alberto Rebassa-Mansergas

**Physics Department of UPC – September 2022** 

# Outline

- Introduction to spectroscopy
- The need of large spectroscopic surveys
- Past spectroscopic surveys
- Ongoing spectroscopic surveys
- White dwarf research based on past and ongoing surveys
- Future spectroscopic surveys
- White dwarf research based on future surveys
- Conclusions







## **Diffraction Grating**

A large number of slits closely separated



### Transmission or reflection



### Diffraction Grating A large number of slits closely separated



Transmission or reflection





 $n\lambda = d[sin(\theta_i) - sin(\theta_m)]$  (trans.)  $n\lambda = d[sin(\theta_i) + sin(\theta_m)]$  (refl.)  $\rightarrow$  grating equation

Note that the zeroth order is not dispersed!





It can be demonstrated that the maxima occur when  $p = n\lambda/d$ 

 $n\lambda = d[\sin(\theta_i) - \sin(\theta_m)]$  (trans.)  $n\lambda = d[\sin(\theta_i) + \sin(\theta_m)]$  (refl.)  $\rightarrow$  grating equation

Note that the zeroth order is not dispersed!



Avoiding this issue is possible introducing differences between the optical path that light follows after crossing the slits. The way to do this is to incline the grating



**Reflecting grating** 



Avoiding this issue is possible introducing differences between the optical path that light follows after crossing the slits. The way to do this is to incline the grating



**Reflecting grating** 



In this case the diffraction pattern is as follows:

$$I = Io \left[ \frac{\sin\left(\frac{kpa}{2}\right)}{\frac{kpa}{2}} \right]^2 \left[ \frac{\sin\left(\frac{Nk\delta}{2}\right)}{N\sin\left(\frac{k\delta}{2}\right)} \right]^2$$

where  $\delta = d[\sin(\theta_i + \phi) + \sin(\theta_m + \phi)]$ and  $\phi$  is the blaze angle

The grating equation transforms into  $d[\sin(\theta_i + \varphi) + \sin(\theta_m + \varphi)] = n\lambda$ 

For  $n = 0 \rightarrow sin(\theta_i + \phi) = -sin(\theta_m + \phi) \rightarrow assuming small angles (which is the case) \rightarrow assumes (which is t$  $\theta_{i}+\phi = -(\theta_{m}+\phi) \rightarrow \theta_{m}= -2\phi - \theta_{i}$ 



**Angular dispersion**: the rate of change of the angle of the dispersed light with wavelength

$$\begin{split} &n\lambda = d[\sin(\theta_i) + \sin(\theta_m)]\\ &nd\lambda = d\cos(\theta_m)(d\theta_m)\\ &d\theta_m/d\lambda = n/d\cos(\theta_m) \end{split}$$

**Linear dispersion**: the rate of change of the linear distance, *x*, along the spectrum with wavelength

 $dx/d\lambda = (dx/d\theta_m)(d\theta_m/d\lambda) = (dx/d\theta_m) (n/d\cos(\theta_m)) = Fcam (n/d\cos(\theta_m)) = nFcam / d\cos(\theta_m)$ 





**Reciprocal linear dispersion:** the inverse of the linear dispersion. This is the value normally provided by the instrument web pages and referred to as *dispersion* 

 $d\lambda/dx = d\cos(\theta_m)/nFcam \approx d/nFcam$ 

Wavelength Range: Disp. (A/mm) x detector-size (mm; in the dispersion direction)

**Resolution**: the ability to distinguish two wavelengths separated by a small amount  $\Delta\lambda$ . It can be considered as the FWHM of a spectral line. It depends on the reciprocal dispersion and the size of the entrance slit:





**Reciprocal linear dispersion:** the inverse of the linear dispersion. This is the value normally provided by the instrument web pages and referred to as *dispersion* 

 $d\lambda/dx = d\cos(\theta_m)/nFcam \approx d/nFcam$ 

Wavelength Range: Disp. (A/mm) x detector-size (mm; in the dispersion direction)

**Resolution**: the ability to distinguish two wavelengths separated by a small amount  $\Delta\lambda$ . It can be considered as the FWHM of a spectral line. It depends on the reciprocal dispersion and the size of the entrance slit:

 $\Delta \lambda = w' d\lambda / dx$ 

**Resolving Power**:  $R = \lambda / \Delta \lambda$ 

Low resolution: R <~ 4000;  $\Delta\lambda$  >~ 1.6 A at Ha

Medium resolution: 4000 <~ R <~ 15000; 1.6 A >~  $\Delta\lambda$  >~ 0.4 A at Ha

High resolution: R >~ 15000;  $\Delta\lambda <~ 0.4$  A at Ha



BARCELONATECH



A. Rebassa-Mansergas - Spectral Surveys



The spectral lines inform about the chemical composition of the atmosphere





The spectral lines inform about the chemical composition of the atmosphere

About the radial velocity of the body



Spectra can be obtained by ground-based and space telescopes

Normally high over subscription factor (2.5-6)  $\rightarrow$  strategy OK for *small* projects



Spectra can be obtained by ground-based and space telescopes

Normally high over subscription factor (2.5-6)  $\rightarrow$  strategy OK for *small* projects

It becomes unfeasible for large scale projects  $\rightarrow$  need of large spectroscopic surveys



Spectra can be obtained by ground-based and space telescopes

Normally high over subscription factor (2.5-6)  $\rightarrow$  strategy OK for *small* projects

It becomes unfeasible for large scale projects  $\rightarrow$  need of large spectroscopic surveys

Data publicly available through data releases Being involved generally implies priorities Who decides what to observe?



### **The Hamburg-Quasar survey**

Dedicated to finding QSOs in the northern sky (~14.000 deg<sup>2</sup>)

 2.2m telescope at Calar Alto from 1980 to 1997

- ~150.000 spectra obtained with 1930 plates (5.5° x 5.5°)

- Wavelength range 3400-5400 A
- R ~ 100; Δλ ~ 45 A at Hγ
- 13 mag <~ B <~ 17.5 mag





### The 2df QSO redshift survey (2QZ)

– Dedicated to measurging redshifts of 25.000 QSOs in two 75° x 5° strips





### The 2df QSO redshift survey (2QZ)

- Dedicated to measurging redshifts of 25.000 QSOs in two 75° x 5° strips
- It operated the 3.9m AAT at Siding Spring Observatory from 1997 to 2002
- It used the 2 degree field multi-object spectrograph (400 spectra per obs.)





### The 2df QSO redshift survey (2QZ)

- Dedicated to measurging redshifts of 25.000 QSOs in two 75° x 5° strips
- It operated the 3.9m AAT at Siding Spring Observatory from 1997 to 2002
- It used the 2 degree field multi-object spectrograph (400 spectra per obs.)
- Wavelength range 3700-7900 A
- $R \sim 700$ ;  $\Delta \lambda \sim 9 A$  at Ha
- 18.25 mag <~ b<sub>1</sub> <~ 20.85 mag



### The RAVE survey

- Dedicated to derive RVs, Teff, log(g), abundances of stars
- It operated the 1.2m UK Schmidt telescope at the Siding Spring Observatory from 2003 to 2013
- It used the 6dF multi-object spectrograph (150 spectra per obs.)  $\rightarrow$  ~570.000 spectra in total
- Wavelength range 8410-8795 A
- $-R = 7500; \Delta \lambda = 0.9 A at Ha$
- 9 mag < l < 12 mag





### The SDSS survey (SDSS-I,II; Legacy, Supernova Survey + SEGUE-1)

– The main driver was the selection of QSOs and galaxies for spectroscopy to build a uniform, well-calibrated map of the Universe

 It operated the 2.5m telescope at Apache Point Observatory from 2000 to 2008

- It used fibre-fed plates (640 spectra per obs.)  $\rightarrow$  nearly 1 million spectra
- Wavelength range 3800-9200 A
- R  $\sim$  1800;  $\Delta\lambda$   $\sim$  3.6 A at Ha
- 15 mag <~ i <~ 19.1 mag





### The SDSS survey (SDSS-III; BOSS, MARVELS, APOGEE, SEGUE-II)

– The main driver was mapping the clustering of galaxies and intergalactic gas in the distant universe (BOSS), the dynamics and chemical evolution of the Milky Way (SEGUE-2 and APOGEE), and the population of extra-solar giant planets (MARVELS)

It operated the 2.5m telescope at
Apache Point Observatory from 2008
to 2014



It used fibre-fed plates (1000 spectra per obs.)+1.6 million additional spectra

– Wavelength range 3600-10400 A

- R  $\sim$  2200;  $\Delta\lambda$   $\sim$  3 A at Ha  $\,$  - 15 mag <~ g <~ 22 mag  $\,$ 



### The SDSS survey (SDSS-IV: 2014-2020)

APOGEE-2: stellar spectroscopic survey of the Milky Way using the 2.5m
Apache Point + 2.5 du Pont telescopes





– MaNGA: explored the detailed structure of 10.000 nearby galaxies







### The SDSS survey (SDSS-V: October 2020 – 2025): mapper programs

 Milky Way Mapper: multi-object spectroscopic survey to obtain near-infrared and/or optical spectra of more than 4 million stars throughout the Milky Way and Local Group

 Local Volume Mapper: optical, integral-field spectroscopic survey that targets the Milky Way, Small and Large Magellanic Clouds, and other Local Volume galaxies

– Black Hole Mapper: multi-object spectroscopic survey that emphasizes optical spectra for more than 300,000 quasars to jointly understand the masses, accretion physics, and growth and evolution over cosmic time of supermassive black holes



### The LAMOST survey

 The scientific goal focuses on the extragalactic observation and structure and evolution of the Galaxy

– It operates the 4m LAMOST telescope at Xinglong Observatory from 2012

– It used fibre-fed plates (4000 spectra per obs.)  $\rightarrow\,$  nearly ~190 million spectra

– Wavelength range 3700-9000 A

Medium-resolution spectra (R = 7000) available
from phase II (2018; ~170 million spectra)

- $R \sim 1800; \Delta \lambda \sim 3.6 A at Ha$
- 13 mag <~ r <~ 19 mag



# 4. Ongoing spectroscopic surveys

### The GALAH survey

 Dedicated to trace the full evolutionary history of the Milky Way



- It operates the 3.9m AAT at Siding Spring Observatory from 2014
- It uses the HERMES spectrograph (400 spectra per obs.)  $\rightarrow \sim$ 850.000 spectra
- Wavelength range 4700-7900 A
- R ~ 28.000-50.000; Δλ ~ 0.25-0.13 A at Hα
- 9 mag <~ V <~ 14 mag



### The Gaia survey

 Satellite designed by the ESA. Launched in 19-12-2013; reached L2 in 8-1-2014. Initiated operations in 25-7-2014

 Objetive: to provide a 3D map of the Galaxy via measuring parallaxes and proper motions

– DR3 (June 2022) yields such values for ~1.8 billion sources

- DR3 also provides spectra for ~220 million objects
- Wavelength range 3300-10.000 A
- <R> ~ 66; < $\Delta\lambda$ > ~ 100 A at Ha
- V <~ 17.6 mag (although fainter mag possible)



### What are white dwarfs?



Giant star nucleus  $\rightarrow$  WD

Small  $\rightarrow$  low luminosity But hot  $\rightarrow$  Sp  $\sim$  A

Evolution → cooling



How do single white dwarfs evolve? Thin H layer through which heat is radiated away  $\rightarrow$  cooling





How do binary white dwarfs evolve? If the WD progenitor and the companion are sufficiently closed the binary may undergo mass transfer episodes



Willems+Kolb (2004)



### How many WDs do we know?

- Proper motions  $\rightarrow \sim 100$  WDs in 1950
- McCook & Sion catalogue → ~600 WDs in 1977 (spectroscopic observations)
- First surveys (e.g. KISO, Hamburg, Edinburgh, etc.) → ~2.200 WDs in 1999
- SDSS  $\rightarrow \sim 50.000$  WDs (DR14) +  $\sim 3.500$  WDMS binaries
- LAMOST  $\rightarrow \sim 1.000$  WDs (DR2) +  $\sim 1.000$  WDMS binaries
- Local sample (unbiased)  $\rightarrow$  599 WDs at 40 pc (~70% complete)
- Gaia  $\rightarrow$  ~350.000, ~100.000 with spectra, ~13,000 within 100pc



### How do we expect the WD mass distribution?



### How do we expect the WD mass distribution?

Spectral Class	Surface Temperature	Mass			
	(kelvins)	(M <sub>sun</sub> )	Luminosity (L <sub>sun</sub> )	Radius (R <sub>sun</sub> )	Approximate Lifetime (years)
05	45,000	60.0	800,000	12	$8  imes 10^5$
B5	15,400	6.0	830	4.0	$7  imes 10^7$
A5	8,100	2.0	40	1.7	$5  imes 10^8$
F5	6,500	1.3	17	1.3	$8  imes 10^8$
G5	5,800	0.92	0.79	0.92	$12  imes 10^9$
К5	4,600	0.67	0.15	0.72	$45  imes 10^9$
M5	3,200	0.21	0.011	0.27	$20  imes 10^{11}$



### How is the observed WD mass distribution? Analysing the SDSS sample



Spectral fitting of SDSS Wds provides Teff and log(g), hence mass, radius, luminosity and age can also be obtained for thousands of WDs

Rebassa-Mansergas et al. (2016)



### How is the observed WD mass distribution? Analysing the SDSS sample



2) Low-mass peak, WDs presumably formed in binaries

### 3) High-mass peak. Real? Origin?



# How is the observed WD mass distribution? Analysing the Gaia 100 pc volume-limited sample



UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA BARCELONATECH

# How is the observed WD mass distribution? Analysing the Gaia 100 pc volume-limited sample



2) Low-mass peak, WDs presumably formed in binaries

3) High-mass peak. Origin?  $\rightarrow$  Crystallization? Mergers? Flatten IFMR?

### The WEAVE survey

Dedicated to study the assembly of the Milky
Way, the evolution of galaxies, the star formation history, the IFMR, etc.



 It will operate the 4.2m WHT at Roque de los Muchachos Observatory from November 2022

- It will use the WEAVE spectrograph (up to 1000 spectra per obs.)
- Wavelength range 3700-10.000 A
- R  $\sim$  5.000 or 20.000;  $\Delta\lambda$   $\sim$  1.3-0.3 A at Ha
- G <~ 20.5 mag



### The DESI survey



DARK ENERGY SPECTROSCOPIC INSTRUMENT

– The aim is to measure the effect of dark energy on the expansion of the Universe

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science

- It will operate the 4.2m Mayall at Kitt Peak Observatory
- It will use the DESI spectrograph (10 spectrographs with 500 fibres)
- Wavelength range 3600-9800 A
- R  $\sim$  2.000 (blue)-5.000 (red);  $\Delta\lambda$   $\sim$  3.2-1.3 A at Ha
- m<sub>z</sub> <~ 20.5 mag



### The 4MOST survey

- Currently in its Manufacturing, Assembly, Integration and Test Phase
- 2436 fibres (2/3 LR; 1/3 HR)
- Spectra for >25 million objects in ~5 years
- Operations start in early 2024
- Around 1000 people involved
- 18 different surveys (8 community)
- 77,000 fibres hours awarded
- S11 The White Dwarf Binary Survey







### 4MOST: 4-m Multi-Object Spectroscopic Survey

### All surveys share the focal plane

Survey	PI	
S1 - Milky Way Halo Low Resolution Survey	Else Starkenburg	
	C. Clare Worley	
S2 - Milky Way Halo High Resolution Survey	Norbert Christlieb	
S3 - Milky Way Bulge and Disk Low Resolution Survey (4MIDABLE-LR)	Ivan Minchev	
	Cristina Chiappini	
S4 - Milky Way Bulge and Disk High Resolution Survey (4MIDABLE-HR)	Thomas Bensby	
	Maria Bergemann	
S5 - Galaxy Clusters Survey	Johan Comparat	
S6 - AGN Survey	Andrea Merioni	
S7 - Galaxy Evolution Survey (WAVES)	Joe Liske	
	Simon Driver	
S8 - Cosmology Redshift Survey (CRS)	Jean-Paul Knelb	
	Johan Richard	
S9 - Magellanic Clouds Survey (1001MC)	Maria-Rosa Cioni	
S10 - Time-Domain Extragalactic Survey (TIDES)	Isobel Hook	





### 4MOST: 4-m Multi-Object Spectroscopic Survey

### All surveys share the focal plane

S11 - White Dwarf Binary Survey (WDB)	Alberto Rebassa-Mansergas	
	Odette Toloza	
S12 - 4MOST Survey of Young Stars (4SYS)	Gluseppe Germano Sacco	
S13 - Stellar Clusters in 4MOST	Sara Lucatello	
	Angela Bragaglia	
	Antonella Vallenari	
S14 - 4MOST Survey of Dwarf Galaxies and their Stellar Streams (4DWARFS)	Ása Skúladóttir	
S15 - Chilean Cluster Galaxy Evolution Survey (CHANCES)	Christopher Haines	
S16 - 4MOST Chilean AGN/Galaxy Evolution Survey (ChANGES)	Franz Bauer	
	Paulina Lira	
S17 - Understanding the Baryon Cycle with High-Resolution QSO Spectroscopy (4HI-Q)	Celine Peroux	
S18 - 4MOST Hemisphere Survey of the Nearby Universe (4HS)	Edward Taylor	
	Michelle Cluver	



### The (x2) low-resolution spectrograph (2x812 fibres)

- Wavelength range: 3700-9500 A
- -R = 4000-7000
- Limiting magnitude AB ~ 20 mag







### The (x2) low-resolution spectrograph (2x812 fibres)

- Wavelength range: 3700-9500 A
- -R = 4000-7000
- Limiting magnitude AB ~ 20 mag







### The high-resolution spectrograph (812 fibres)

- Wavelength range: 3926-4355, 5160-5730, 6100-6790 A
- -R = 18000-21000
- Limiting magnitude AB ~ 16 mag







### The high-resolution spectrograph (812 fibres)

- Wavelength range: 3926-4355, 5160-5730, 6100-6790 A
- -R = 18000-21000
- Limiting magnitude AB  $\sim$  16 mag







### Arrangement of the fibres







# IWG1 - Targeting Support Infrastructure working groups IWG2 - Survey Strategy and Simulations IWG3 - Pipeline Calibration and Science Verification **IWG4 - Selection Functions** IWG5 - Science Simulations IWG6 - Data Curation and Data Release IWG7 - Galactic Analysis Pipeline IWG8 - Extragalactic Analysis Pipeline IWG9 - Object Classification



### Work packages

WP
WP 6.1 - System Integration, Installation, and Maintenance
WP 6.2 - Telescope Interface
WP 6.3 - Fibre Positioner
WP 6.4 - Low resolution Spectrograph
WP 6.5 - High resolution Spectrograph
WP 6.6 - Detector Systems
WP 6.7 - Facility Control Software
WP 6.9 - Calibration System
WP 6.10 - Facility Control Hardware
WP 7.4 - Data Management
WP 7.5 - Operations System



### **Other working groups**

- Bright targets
- Supplementary targets
- Multiplicity (cadence)
- Management Plan
- Project Culture



### **S11: the close binary sample**



UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA BARCELONATECH

### **S11: the close binary sample**





### **S11:** the wide binary survey



The stars evolve without mass transfer episodes but the ages are the same



### **S11:** the wide binary survey





### **S11:** the wide binary survey



The stars evolve without mass transfer episodes but the ages are the same

Constraints on the age-metallicity relation





### **S11: the wide binary survey**



The stars evolve without mass transfer episodes but the ages are the same

Constraints on the age-activity relation





– Spectroscopy is fundamental in all areas of astrophysics

 Since the 1980s spectroscopic surveys started operating due to the much-needed collective effort for improving our understanding of a wide variety of open problems

 Future spectroscopic surveys that make use of 4m apperture telescopes with allow to acquire millions of additional high-quality spectra

– The data provided by current and future spectroscopic surveys, as well as by new large-aperture facilities (30-40m telescopes) and the data being collected by satellites such as Gaia imply that we are living a golden age in astronomy

